# **Clustering applied to Hidden Markov Models**



# Abstract

We consider the clustering of observations of a Hidden Markov Model (HMM) comprised of two hidden states and discrete emissions. Clustering amounts to the reconstruction of the hidden states using the observations by minimizing (in average) the number of misclassified observations. Both online and offline clustering are studied.

- We show that the **empirical plug-in Bayes classifier** using consistent estimators of the model parameters is **efficient** in the sense that its risk is equivalent to the Bayes risk for large samples.
- We identify the asymptotic Bayes risk using some forgetting properties of Markov Chains.
- We exhibit **upper and lower bounds** on the asymptotic Bayes risk using the model parameters.

# Motivation

- Clustering is usually applied to heterogeneous data coming from different populations. These are usually modeled by a **mixture model**. However, without any additional assumption, these models are not identifiable. Inference on parameters and clustering become impossible.
- Assuming in addition that the data is derived from a HMM the model becomes identifiable and inference and clustering algorithms can be used as in [2].

## Mathematical setting

- The hidden states  $(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{k}})_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbf{N}}$  are assumed to form a Markov chain.
- The observations  $(\mathbf{Y}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  are independent conditionally on the hidden states and  $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{k}} \mid \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{j} \sim \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{j}}$ .
- The Markov chain  $(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{k}})_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}}$  will be assumed to have two hidden states, initial distribution  $\nu$  and transition matrix:



Markov process :

Observations

Figure 1: A hidden Markov model.

# Ibrahim KADDOURI

Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay, Université Paris-Saclay

# Offline vs online frameworks

Denote  $\theta = (\nu, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{f_0}, \mathbf{f_1})$  and consider the loss function  $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x_{1:n}}, \mathbf{x'_{1:n}}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{x_{1:n}}}$ We study the risk of clustering observations in two frameworks:

- Offline: All observations are used in the classification procedures. Classifiers are of the form:  $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{Y}_{1:\mathbf{n}}) = (\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{Y}_{1:\mathbf{n}}))_{1 < \mathbf{k} < \mathbf{n}}$ .
  - Offline risk:  $\mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}^{Offline}(\mathbf{h}) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}_{k} \neq \mathbf{h}_{k}(\mathbf{Y}_{1:n})} \right]$
  - Offline Bayes risk:  $\mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}^{\star,Offline} = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\min_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}}\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}_{k} \neq \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{1}:n})]$
- Online: Classification can use only past observations. Classifiers are of the from:  $h(Y_{0:n-1}) = (h_k(Y_{0:k-1}))_{1 \le k \le n}$
- Online risk:  $\mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}^{Online}(\mathbf{h}) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}_{k} \neq (\mathbf{h}_{k}(\mathbf{Y}_{0:k-1}))} \right]$ - Online Bayes risk:  $\mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}^{\star,Online} = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\min_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}}\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}_{k}\neq\mathbf{x}\mid\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{0}:k-\mathbf{1}})]$

# Efficiency of plug-in empirical Bayes classifier

### **Theorem 1** Assume:

- The initial distribution  $\nu$  is the stationary distribution. •  $\delta = \min_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} > \mathbf{0}$
- $(\mathbf{f_0}(\mathbf{y}), \mathbf{f_1}(\mathbf{y})) = (\mathbf{p_0^y}(1 \mathbf{p_0})^{1 \mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{p_1^y}(1 \mathbf{p_1})^{1 \mathbf{y}})$
- $\mathbf{c}^{\star} = \min(\mathbf{p_0}, \mathbf{p_1}, \mathbf{1} \mathbf{p_0}, \mathbf{1} \mathbf{p_1}) > \mathbf{0}.$

Then:

# $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{HMM}}^{\mathbf{Offline}}(\hat{\mathbf{h}}) - \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{HMM}}^{\star,\mathbf{Offline}} \leq \frac{4(1-\delta)}{\delta^2} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left| \frac{1}{\mathbf{n}(1-\rho)} \|\nu - \hat{\nu}\|_2 + \left( 1/(1-\rho) \right) \right|_{\mathbf{n}} + \left( \frac{1}{(1-\rho)} \|\nu - \hat{\nu}\|_2 + \left( \frac{1}{(1-\rho)} \|\nu - \hat{\nu}\|_2 \right) \right) \right|_{\mathbf{n}}$ $+1/(1-\hat{ ho})\left(\|\mathbf{Q}-\hat{\mathbf{Q}}\|_{\mathbf{F}}+rac{2}{\mathbf{c}^{\star}}\max_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}}|\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{x}}-\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{x}}| ight) ight.$ $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{HMM}}^{\mathbf{Online}}(\hat{\mathbf{h}}) - \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{HMM}}^{\star,\mathbf{Online}} \leq \frac{8(1-\delta)}{\delta^2} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{1}{\mathbf{n}(1-\rho)} \|\nu - \hat{\nu}\|_2 + \left( 1/(1-\rho) \right) \right]$ $+1/(1-\hat{\rho})\right)\left(\|\mathbf{Q}-\hat{\mathbf{Q}}\|_{\mathbf{F}}+\frac{1}{\mathbf{c}^{\star}}\max_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}}|\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{x}}-\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{x}}|\right)\right]+2\mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left[\|\mathbf{Q}-\hat{\mathbf{Q}}\|_{\mathbf{F}}\right]$

where  $\rho = \frac{1-2\delta}{1-\delta}$ ,  $\hat{\rho} = \frac{1-2\hat{\delta}}{1-\hat{\delta}}$ ,  $\hat{\delta} = \min_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} > \mathbf{0}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$  is the empirical Bayes classifier using estimates of the model parameters  $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\nu}, \hat{\mathbf{Q}}, \hat{\mathbf{p}}_0, \hat{\mathbf{p}}_1)$ .

• Note that there is no need for consistent estimators of the initial distribution.

• Existence of consistent estimators of  $(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{f_0}, \mathbf{f_1})$  is ensured (cf. [1]).

 $\rightarrow$  Plugging-in consistent estimators of the model parameters is thus an **efficient** procedure.



 $(\mathbf{Y_{T-1}})$ 

Acknowledgments: This work was done under the supervision of professors Elisabeth Gassiat and Zacharie Naulet. I would like to express my warm thanks and gratitude for proposing this subject to me, for all the fruitful discussions we had and for their availability all the time.



n k=1	$1_{\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{k}}\neq\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{h}}^{\prime}}.$	

 $\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{R}_{\infty,HMM}^{\star,Offline} = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\min(\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}_{0} = \mathbf{1} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{-\infty:+\infty}), \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}_{0} = \mathbf{0} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{-\infty:+\infty}))] \\ &\mathcal{R}_{\infty,HMM}^{\star,Online} = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\min(\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}_{1} = \mathbf{1} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{-\infty:0}), \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}_{1} = \mathbf{0} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{-\infty:0}))] \end{aligned}$ 

**Theorem 2** Under the same assumptions:

Define the following two quantities:

$$\begin{split} \left| \mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}^{\star,\text{Offline}} - \mathcal{R}_{\infty,HMM}^{\star,\text{Offline}} \right| &\leq \frac{2}{n(1-\rho_0)} \\ \left| \mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}^{\star,\text{Online}} - \mathcal{R}_{\infty,HMM}^{\star,\text{Online}} \right| &\leq \frac{\rho_1}{2n} \frac{1}{1-\rho_0} \end{split}$$

where  $\rho_0 = \frac{1-2\delta}{1-\delta}$ ,  $\rho_1 = 1 - 2\delta$  and  $\delta = \min_{i,j} Q_{i,j} > 0$ .

## Bounds on the asymptotic Bayes risk

We introduce the following parametrization :

$$\phi(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p} \\ \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}, \quad \|\mathbf{f_0} - \mathbf{f_1}\|_{\infty} \end{pmatrix}$$

**Theorem 3** - The asymptotic Bayes risk for online clustering verifies:

$$\mathcal{R}^{\star, \mathbf{Online}}_{\infty, \mathbf{HMM}} \leq rac{1}{2} - rac{|\phi_1|}{2}$$

-Assuming in addition that  $\min(\mathbf{f_0}, \mathbf{f_1}) \geq \mathbf{c}$  and that  $|\phi_2| \leq \frac{\mathbf{c}}{12} \wedge \frac{\mathbf{c}^2}{4}$ , then one has:

$$\mathcal{R}^{\star, \mathbf{Online}}_{\infty, \mathbf{HMM}} \geq rac{1}{2} - rac{|\phi_1|}{2} - rac{(1 - \phi_1^2)\phi_2^2\phi_3}{2\mathbf{c}}$$

Note that the upper bound  $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{|\phi_1|}{2}$  corresponds to the Bayes risk reached by the majority class classifier.

# Conclusion and future directions

This work clarifies some features of the asymptotic behavior of the Bayes risk and empirical estimation procedures. However, some aspects still need to be studied:

- Extension of the results to the **nonparametric setting**.
- Bounds on the asymptotic Bayes risk in the offline framework.
- Matching the upper and lower bounds in order to understand how much better is the HMM Bayes classifier compared to majority class classifier.

### References

- [1] Kweku Abraham, Ismaël Castillo, and Elisabeth Gassiat. Multiple testing in nonparametric hidden markov models: An empirical bayes approach. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 2021.
- [2] Olivier Cappé, Eric Moulines, and Rydén Tobias. Inference in Hidden Markov Models. Springer, 2005.

Scan this for more details  $\rightarrow$ 



