# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Clustering hidden Markov models observations

Ibrahim Kaddouri

**Université Paris-Saclay, Laboratoire de mathématiques d'Orsay**

February 28, 2023



## <span id="page-1-0"></span>**Clustering**

Clustering is an ill-posed problem which aims to find out interesting structures in the data or to derive a useful grouping of the observations.



# Applications of clustering

- Recommender system in social network
- Statistical data analysis
- **•** Anomaly detection
- Image segmentation and object detection

 $\bullet$  ...

4 m k

## Model-based clustering: Mixture models

Observations  $Y = (Y_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$  coming from J populations. Define latent variables  $X = (X_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$  such that: for each k,

$$
Y_k \mid X_k = j \sim f_j
$$

## Model-based clustering: Mixture models

Observations  $Y = (Y_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$  coming from J populations. Define latent variables  $X = (X_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$  such that: for each k,

$$
Y_k \mid X_k = j \sim f_j
$$

Then  $Y_k$  has distribution

$$
\sum_{j=1}^J \pi_j f_j
$$

*π*j : Probability to come from population j

Useful to model data coming from heterogeneous populations.

## Mixture models: Identifiability

Mixture models are not identifiable :

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{J} \pi_j f_j = \frac{\pi_1}{2} f_1 + \left(\frac{\pi_1}{2} + \pi_2\right) \left(\frac{\frac{\pi_1}{2} f_1 + \pi_2 f_2}{\frac{\pi_1}{2} + \pi_2}\right) + \sum_{j=3}^{J} \pi_j f_j
$$

D.

## Mixture models: Identifiability

Mixture models are not identifiable :

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{J} \pi_j f_j = \frac{\pi_1}{2} f_1 + \left(\frac{\pi_1}{2} + \pi_2\right) \left(\frac{\frac{\pi_1}{2} f_1 + \pi_2 f_2}{\frac{\pi_1}{2} + \pi_2}\right) + \sum_{j=3}^{J} \pi_j f_j
$$

Learning of population components possible only under additional structural assumptions such as:

- **Parametric mixtures**
- Shape restrictions (gaussian, multinomial, ...)

#### $\rightarrow$  Might lead to poor results in practice

### Hidden Markov Models and why they are useful



Figure: A Hidden Markov Model.

Latent (unobserved) variables  $(X_k)_k$  form a Markov chain. Observations  $(Y_k)_k$  are independent conditionnally to  $(X_k)_k$ .

### Hidden Markov Models and why they are useful



Figure: A Hidden Markov Model.

Latent (unobserved) variables  $(X_k)_k$  form a Markov chain. Observations  $(Y_k)_k$  are independent conditionnally to  $(X_k)_k$ .

HMMs are identifiable without any shape restriction!

Ibrahim KADDOURI Clustering hidden Markov models observation February 28, 2023 6/30

### <span id="page-9-0"></span>**Outline**



#### 2 [Inference in HMMs](#page-9-0)

[Clustering: Reconstructing the hidden states](#page-12-0)

[Bounds on the Bayes risk](#page-33-0)

5 [Plug-in Bayes classifier](#page-43-0)

## Inference in Hidden Markov Models

The HMM parameters are:

- The initial distribution *ν*.
- The transition matrix Q.
- The emission distributions  $F = (f_i)_{1 \leq i \leq J}$

**Purpose**: Estimate the model parameters and the hidden states associated to the observations.

## <span id="page-11-0"></span>Inference in Hidden Markov Models

Many estimators have been studied in the HMM framework:

- **Kernel estimators**
- **Wavelet estimators**
- **Projection estimators**

The associated optimal rates of convergence were derived. Fundamental limits for learning these models were also identified.

#### <span id="page-12-0"></span>**Outline**



#### [Inference in HMMs](#page-9-0)

#### 3 [Clustering: Reconstructing the hidden states](#page-12-0)

- [Bounds on the Bayes risk](#page-33-0)
- 5 [Plug-in Bayes classifier](#page-43-0)

## Online vs offline clustering

We study the risk of clustering observations in two frameworks:

**Offline**: All observations are used in the clustering procedures. Clustering rules are of the form:  $h(Y_{1:n}) = (h_i(Y_{1:n}))_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ 

化重新润滑脂

## Online vs offline clustering

We study the risk of clustering observations in two frameworks:

- **Offline**: All observations are used in the clustering procedures. Clustering rules are of the form:  $h(Y_{1:n}) = (h_i(Y_{1:n}))_{1 \le i \le n}$
- **Online**: Clustering can use only past (and current) observations. Clustering rules are of the form:  $h(Y_{1:n}) = (h_i(Y_{1:i}))_{1 \leq i \leq n}$

## Online vs offline clustering

We study the risk of clustering observations in two frameworks:

- **Offline**: All observations are used in the clustering procedures. Clustering rules are of the form:  $h(Y_{1:n}) = (h_i(Y_{1:n}))_{1 \le i \le n}$
- **Online**: Clustering can use only past (and current) observations. Clustering rules are of the form:  $h(Y_{1:n}) = (h_i(Y_{1:i}))_{1 \leq i \leq n}$

For the moment, we focus on the **offline case**.

Consider the loss function:

$$
L_1(x'_{1:n}, x_{1:n}) = \inf_{\tau \in S} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{x'_k \neq \tau(x_k)}
$$

重

Consider the loss function:

$$
L_1(x'_{1:n}, x_{1:n}) = \inf_{\tau \in S} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{x'_k \neq \tau(x_k)}
$$

The risk associated to a classifier h is:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}(h) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[L_1(h(Y_{1:n}), X_{1:n})] = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left[\inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{[h(Y_{1:n})]_i \neq \tau(X_i)}\right]
$$

÷.

Consider the loss function:

$$
L_1(x'_{1:n}, x_{1:n}) = \inf_{\tau \in S} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{x'_k \neq \tau(x_k)}
$$

The risk associated to a classifier h is:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}(h) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[L_1(h(Y_{1:n}), X_{1:n})] = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left[\inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{[h(Y_{1:n})]_i \neq \tau(X_i)}\right]
$$

Deriving appropriate bounds on this quantity is unclear!

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨトー

<span id="page-19-0"></span>Let's instead consider:

$$
L_1(x'_{1:n}, x_{1:n}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{x'_k \neq x_k}
$$

 $2990$ 

Ε

Let's instead consider:

$$
L_1(x'_{1:n}, x_{1:n}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{x'_k \neq x_k}
$$

The risk associated to a classifier  $h$  is:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}(h) = \inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[L_1(h(Y_{1:n}), \tau(X_{1:n}))] = \inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{[h(Y_{1:n})]_i \neq \tau(X_i)} \right]
$$

 $\Rightarrow$ 

Let's instead consider:

$$
L_1(x'_{1:n}, x_{1:n}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{x'_k \neq x_k}
$$

The risk associated to a classifier h is:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}(h) = \inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[L_1(h(Y_{1:n}), \tau(X_{1:n}))] = \inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{[h(Y_{1:n})]_i \neq \tau(X_i)} \right]
$$

Let  $X = \{0, ..., r - 1\}$ . The associated Bayes risk is:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}^{\star}=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\min_{x\in\mathbb{X}}\mathbb{P}_{\theta}\left(X_{i}\neq x\mid Y_{1:n}\right)]
$$

<span id="page-22-0"></span>Let's instead consider:

$$
L_1(x'_{1:n}, x_{1:n}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{x'_k \neq x_k}
$$

The risk associated to a classifier h is:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}(h) = \inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[L_1(h(Y_{1:n}), \tau(X_{1:n}))] = \inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{[h(Y_{1:n})]_i \neq \tau(X_i)} \right]
$$

Let  $X = \{0, ..., r - 1\}$ . The associated Bayes risk is:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}^{\star}=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\min_{x\in\mathbb{X}}\mathbb{P}_{\theta}\left(X_{i}\neq x\mid Y_{1:n}\right)]
$$

The Bayes classifier is:

$$
h^{\star}(Y_{1:n}) = \left(\arg\max_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_k = x \mid Y_{1:n})\right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ \text{where } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } k \neq k}} \quad \text{and} \quad h^{\star}(Y_{1:n}) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}_{\theta_k \mid k} \mid \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}_{\theta_k \mid k} \mid k \neq k \right)
$$

Ibrahim KADDOURI [Clustering hidden Markov models observations](#page-0-0) February 28, 2023 13 / 30

### <span id="page-23-0"></span>Reconstruction algorithm

In practice *θ* is unknown. One rather uses an estimator *θ*ˆ and the algorithm yields:

$$
\hat{h}(Y_{1:n}) = \left(\arg\max_{x_k \in \mathbb{X}} \mathbb{P}_{\hat{\theta}}(X_k = x_k \mid Y_{1:n})\right)_{1 \leq k \leq n}
$$

 $QQ$ 

÷.

## Reconstruction algorithm

In practice *θ* is unknown. One rather uses an estimator *θ*ˆ and the algorithm yields:

$$
\hat{h}(Y_{1:n}) = \left(\arg\max_{x_k \in \mathbb{X}} \mathbb{P}_{\hat{\theta}}(X_k = x_k \mid Y_{1:n})\right)_{1 \leq k \leq n}
$$

#### **Algorithm 2:** MAP classifier algorithm

Assume  $X = \{0, ..., r - 1\}, \theta = (\nu, Q, F)$  is given.; Using the **Forward-Backward** algorithm, compute  $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_1 = . \mid Y_{1:n}), \dots, \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_n = . \mid Y_{1:n}),$ **for**  $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$  **do**  $|$  x<sub>k</sub> = arg max<sub>0≤x≤r−1</sub>  $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_k = x | Y_{1:n})$ 

Consider the loss function:

$$
L_2(x'_{1:n},x_{1:n})=1_{x'_{1:n}\neq x_{1:n}}
$$

 $2990$ 

 $\Rightarrow$ 

Consider the loss function:

$$
L_2(x'_{1:n},x_{1:n})=1_{x'_{1:n}\neq x_{1:n}}
$$

The risk of clustering associated to a classifier  $h$  is:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n, HMM}(h) = \inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[L_2(h(Y_{1:n}), \tau(X_{1:n}))]
$$

÷.

 $QQ$ 

Consider the loss function:

$$
L_2(x'_{1:n},x_{1:n})=1_{x'_{1:n}\neq x_{1:n}}
$$

The risk of clustering associated to a classifier h is:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n, HMM}(h) = \inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[L_2(h(Y_{1:n}), \tau(X_{1:n}))]
$$

The associated Bayes risk is

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}^{\star} = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\min_{x_{1:n}\in\mathbb{X}^n}\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_{1:n}\neq x_{1:n}\mid Y_{1:n})]
$$

Consider the loss function:

$$
L_2(x'_{1:n},x_{1:n})=1_{x'_{1:n}\neq x_{1:n}}
$$

The risk of clustering associated to a classifier h is:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n, HMM}(h) = \inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[L_2(h(Y_{1:n}), \tau(X_{1:n}))]
$$

The associated Bayes risk is

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}^{\star} = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\min_{x_{1:n}\in\mathbb{X}^n}\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_{1:n}\neq x_{1:n}\mid Y_{1:n})]
$$

The Bayes classifier is then:

$$
\textstyle h^\star(Y_{1:n}) = \argmax_{x_{1:n} \in \mathbb{X}^n} \mathbb{P}_\theta(X_{1:n} = x_{1:n} \mid Y_{1:n})
$$

4 m k

### Reconstruction algorithm

In practice  $\theta$  is unknown. One rather uses an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  and seeks the plug-in Bayes classifier:

$$
\hat{h}(Y_{1:n}) = \argmax_{x_{1:n} \in \mathbb{X}^n} \mathbb{P}_{\hat{\theta}}(X_{1:n} = x_{1:n} \mid Y_{1:n})
$$

It can be retrieved by **Viterbi algorithm**.

#### Example

Consider the following HMM with the parameters:

$$
Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0.75 & 0.25 \\ 0.3 & 0.7 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = (\mathcal{B}(0.9), \mathcal{B}(0.15)), \quad n = 1000
$$

÷.

## Example

Below are the observations and the associated states:



Figure: The observations and the associated hidden states. For the observations, the top vertical lines correspond to observations of 1, the lower ones are observations of 0 4. 点  $QQ$ 

### <span id="page-32-0"></span>Reconstructions of the hidden states



**IN** 

4. 点

 $\Rightarrow$ Þ

### <span id="page-33-0"></span>**Outline**



- [Inference in HMMs](#page-9-0)
- [Clustering: Reconstructing the hidden states](#page-12-0)
- 4 [Bounds on the Bayes risk](#page-33-0)
- [Plug-in Bayes classifier](#page-43-0)

**K ロ ▶ K 伺 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K ヨ ▶** 

## Stationarized Bayes risk

Assume the HMM is comprised of 2 hidden states. Consider the following quantities:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}^{\star,\text{Offline}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \min(\mathbb{P}_{\theta} \left( X_i = 1 \mid Y_{1:n} \right), \mathbb{P}_{\theta} \left( X_i = 0 \mid Y_{1:n} \right) \right] \newline \mathcal{R}_{\text{stat},HMM}}^{\star,\text{Offline}} = \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \min(\mathbb{P}_{\theta} \left( X_0 = 1 \mid Y_{-\infty;+\infty} \right), \mathbb{P}_{\theta} \left( X_0 = 0 \mid Y_{-\infty;+\infty} \right) \right) \right]
$$

## Stationarized Bayes risk

Assume the HMM is comprised of 2 hidden states. Consider the following quantities:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}^{\star,\text{Offline}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \min(\mathbb{P}_{\theta} \left( X_i = 1 \mid Y_{1:n} \right), \mathbb{P}_{\theta} \left( X_i = 0 \mid Y_{1:n} \right) \right] \right]
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{R}_{stat,HMM}^{\star,\text{Offline}} = \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \min(\mathbb{P}_{\theta} \left( X_0 = 1 \mid Y_{-\infty;+\infty} \right), \mathbb{P}_{\theta} \left( X_0 = 0 \mid Y_{-\infty;+\infty} \right) \right] \right]
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}^{\star,\text{Online}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \min(\mathbb{P}_{\theta} \left( X_i = 1 \mid Y_{1:i} \right), \mathbb{P}_{\theta} \left( X_i = 0 \mid Y_{1:i} \right) \right] \right]
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{R}_{stat,HMM}^{\star,\text{Online}} = \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \min(\mathbb{P}_{\theta} \left( X_1 = 1 \mid Y_{-\infty:1} \right), \mathbb{P}_{\theta} \left( X_1 = 0 \mid Y_{-\infty:1} \right) \right]
$$

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト

# Exponential forgetting

#### Proposition

Assume:

- The initial distribution is the stationary distribution
- The HMM model is comprised of two hidden states

$$
\bullet \ \delta = \min_{i,j} Q_{i,j} > 0
$$

• 
$$
\rho_0 = \frac{1-2\delta}{1-\delta}
$$
 and  $\rho_1 = 1-2\delta$ 

€ □ E  $\leftarrow$   $\leftarrow$   $\leftarrow$  ÷,

# Exponential forgetting

#### Proposition

Assume:

- The initial distribution is the stationary distribution
- The HMM model is comprised of two hidden states

\n- $$
\delta = \min_{i,j} Q_{i,j} > 0
$$
\n- $\rho_0 = \frac{1-2\delta}{1-\delta}$  and  $\rho_1 = 1-2\delta$
\n- Then, for  $0 \leq j' \leq j$ ,  $k \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 0$ :
\n

$$
\|\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_k \in . \mid Y_{-j:n}) - \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_k \in . \mid Y_{-j':n})\|_{TV} \leq 2\rho_0^{k \wedge n+j'}\rho_1^{k-k \wedge n}
$$

€ □ E  $\leftarrow$   $\leftarrow$   $\leftarrow$  ÷,

# Exponential forgetting

#### Proposition

Assume:

- The initial distribution is the stationary distribution
- The HMM model is comprised of two hidden states

\n- $$
\delta = \min_{i,j} Q_{i,j} > 0
$$
\n- $\rho_0 = \frac{1-2\delta}{1-\delta}$  and  $\rho_1 = 1 - 2\delta$
\n- Then, for  $0 \leq j' \leq j$ ,  $k \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 0$ :
\n

$$
\|\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_k \in . \mid Y_{-j:n}) - \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_k \in . \mid Y_{-j':n})\|_{TV} \leq 2\rho_0^{k \wedge n+j'}\rho_1^{k-k \wedge n}
$$

Similarly, for  $0 \le k \le j' \le j$  and  $n \ge 0$  one has:

$$
\|\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_k \in . \mid Y_{-n:j}) - \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_k \in . \mid Y_{-n:j'})\|_{TV} \leq 2\rho_0^{-k+j'}
$$

◆ロト → 何ト → ヨト → ヨト

## Stationarized Bayes risk

#### Theorem

Under the same assumptions:

$$
\left| \mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}^{\star,Offline} - \mathcal{R}_{stat,HMM}^{\star,Offline} \right| \le \frac{2}{n(1 - \rho_0)}
$$
  

$$
\left| \mathcal{R}_{n,HMM}^{\star,Online} - \mathcal{R}_{stat,HMM}^{\star,Online} \right| \le \frac{\rho_1}{2n} \frac{1}{1 - \rho_0}
$$

where 
$$
\rho_0 = \frac{1-2\delta}{1-\delta}
$$
,  $\rho_1 = 1-2\delta$  and  $\delta = \min_{i,j} Q_{i,j} > 0$ .

 $\leftarrow$   $\Box$ ∢ ⊜⊺  $\rightarrow$  D.

## Bounds on asymptotic Bayes risk

#### Theorem

Assume the initial distribution is the stationary distribution. where  $\delta$  = min<sub>*i,j*</sub> Q<sub>*i,j*</sub> > 0. One has:

$$
\frac{\delta}{1-\delta}\mathcal{R}_{\theta^\star,\infty}^{\star, Online} \leq \mathcal{R}_{\theta^\star,\infty}^{\star,offline} \leq \mathcal{R}_{\theta^\star,\infty}^{\star,Online}
$$

∢母

目

## Bounds on asymptotic Bayes risk

#### Theorem

Assume the initial distribution is the stationary distribution. where  $\delta$  = min<sub>*i,j*</sub> Q<sub>*i,j*</sub> > 0. One has:

$$
\frac{\delta}{1-\delta}\mathcal{R}_{\theta^\star,\infty}^{\star, Online} \leq \mathcal{R}_{\theta^\star,\infty}^{\star,offline} \leq \mathcal{R}_{\theta^\star,\infty}^{\star,Online}
$$

$$
\delta\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[f_{0}\wedge f_{1}\right](z)\mu(dz)\leq\mathcal{R}^{\star,\mathit{Online}}_{\theta^{\star},\infty}\leq(1-\delta)\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[f_{0}\wedge f_{1}\right](z)\mu(dz)
$$

目

化重复 化重变

∢母

## Appropriate Signal-to-Noise ratio

#### **Corollary**

Assume the initial distribution of the hidden states is the stationary distribution of Q and in the case of multidimensional gaussian emission distributions having the same covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  and means  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ . Let  $SNR = (\mu_0 - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mu_0 - \mu_1)$ :

$$
\frac{\delta}{2}\exp\left(-\frac{\textsf{SNR}}{2}\right)\leq \mathcal{R}_{\theta^\star,\infty}^{\star,\text{Online}}\leq (1-\delta)\exp\left(-\frac{\textsf{SNR}}{8}\right)
$$

### <span id="page-43-0"></span>**Outline**



- [Inference in HMMs](#page-9-0)
- 3 [Clustering: Reconstructing the hidden states](#page-12-0)
- [Bounds on the Bayes risk](#page-33-0)



÷.

#### **Notations**

 $(f_0, f_1)$  = Emission densities  $\theta = (\nu, Q, (f_x)_{x=0,1})$  true parameters  $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\nu}, \hat{\bm{Q}}, (\hat{f}_\mathsf{x})_{\mathsf{x}=0,1})$  estimators of the true parameters  $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_i \in . \mid Y_{1:n})$  smoothing distribution under true parameters  $\theta$  $\mathbb{P}_{\hat{\theta}}(X_i \in . \mid Y_{1:n})$  smoothing distribution under estimated parameters  $\hat{\theta}$  $h_\theta^{Offline}({\sf Y}_{1:n})=({\sf 1}_{\mathbb{P}_\theta({\sf X}_i=1|{\sf Y}_{1:n})>1/2})$ Bayes classifier  $h_{\hat{\theta}}^{Offline}(\textit{\textbf{Y}}_{1:n})=(1_{\mathbb{P}_{\hat{\theta}}(\textit{\textbf{X}}_{i}=1|\textit{\textbf{Y}}_{1:n})>1/2}$ plug-in Bayes classifier  $h_\theta^{\text{Online}}(\mathsf{Y}_{1:n}) = (1_{\mathbb{P}_\theta(\mathsf{X}_i=1|\mathsf{Y}_{1:i})>1/2}$ Bayes classifier  $h_{\hat{\theta}}^{Online}(Y_{1:n}) = (\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{P}_{\hat{\theta}}(X_i=1|Y_{1:i})>1/2})$ plug-in Bayes classifier

## Control of smoothing distribution

#### Theorem (De Castro, Gassiat, Le Corff (2018))

Suppose the initial distribution is the stationary distribution and  $\delta = \min_{i,j} Q_{i,j} > 0$ . Then, for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and all  $y_{1:n} \in \mathbb{Y}^n$ :

$$
\|\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_{i} \in . \mid y_{1:n}) - \mathbb{P}_{\hat{\theta}}(X_{i} \in . \mid y_{1:n})\|_{\mathit{TV}} \leq \frac{4(1-\delta)}{\delta^{2}} \left(\rho^{i-1} \|\nu - \hat{\nu}\|_{2} + \left(\frac{1}{1-\rho} + \frac{1}{1-\hat{\rho}}\right) \|\mathcal{Q} - \hat{\mathcal{Q}}\|_{\mathit{F}} + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{(\hat{\rho} \vee \rho)^{|l-l|}}{f_{0}(y_{l}) \vee f_{1}(y_{l})} \max_{x=0,1} \left|f_{x}(y_{l}) - \hat{f}_{x}(y_{l})\right|\right)
$$

where:

\n- $$
\hat{\delta} = \min_{i,j} \hat{Q}_{i,j}
$$
\n- $\rho = \frac{1-2\delta}{1-\delta}$  and  $\hat{\rho} = \frac{1-2\delta}{1-\delta}$
\n

э

 $\Omega$ 

◆ロト → 何ト → ヨト → ヨト

## Efficiency of plug-in empirical Bayes classifier

#### Theorem

Assume in addition that the emission densities  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are lower-bounded by  $c^* > 0$ . Let  $\delta = \min_{i,j} Q_{i,j} > 0$  and  $\rho = \frac{1-2\delta}{1-\delta}$ 1−*δ* . Then:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\theta^{\star},n}^{\text{Online}}(h_{\hat{\theta}}^{\text{Online}}) - \mathcal{R}_{\theta^{\star},n}^{\star,\text{Online}} \leq \frac{4(1-\delta)^{2}}{\delta^{3}} \inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta^{\star}} \left[ \frac{1}{n} ||\nu^{\tau} - \hat{\nu}||_{2} + ||\mathcal{Q}^{\tau} - \hat{\mathcal{Q}}||_{\mathcal{F}} + \frac{1}{c^{\star}} \max_{x=0,1} ||f_{\tau(x)} - \hat{f}_{x}||_{\infty} \right]
$$

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\theta^{\star},n}^{Offline}(h_{\hat{\theta}}^{Offline}) - \mathcal{R}_{\theta^{\star},n}^{\star,Offline} \leq \frac{4(1-\delta)}{\delta^2} \inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta^{\star}} \bigg[ \frac{1}{n(1-\rho)} \|\nu^{\tau} - \hat{\nu}\|_2
$$

$$
+ \Big( 1/(1-\rho) + 1/(1-\hat{\rho}) \Big) \Big( \|\mathcal{Q}^{\tau} - \hat{\mathcal{Q}}\|_F + \frac{2}{c^{\star}} \max_{x=0,1} \big| |f_{\tau(x)} - \hat{f}_x| \big|_{\infty} \Big) \bigg]
$$

## <span id="page-47-0"></span>Rate of convergence

#### **Corollary**

Assume  $f_0\neq f_1$  and that they belong to  $C^s(\mathbb{R})$ , the usual space of s-Hölder-continuous functions.

Assume Q is full-rank, irreducible and aperiodic.

Let  $M_n \to +\infty$  arbitrarily slowly and let  $k_n = \left(\frac{\log(n)}{n}\right)$  $\frac{s(n)}{n}\bigg)^{\frac{s}{2s+1}}$ . There exists an estimator  $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\pi}, \hat{Q}, (\hat{f}_i)_{i=0,1})$  of  $\theta$  and a sequence of random permutations  $(\tau_n)_n$  of  $\{0,1\}$  and  $c, c' \geq 0$  such that:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\theta^{\star},n}^{Online}(h_{\hat{\theta}^{\tau_n}}^{Online}) - \mathcal{R}_{\theta^{\star},n}^{\star,Online} \leq cM_n^3k_n
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\theta^{\star},n}^{Office}(h_{\hat{\theta}^{\tau_n}}^{Office}) - \mathcal{R}_{\theta^{\star},n}^{\star,Office} \leq c'M_n^3k_n
$$

目